

### Exercise 1 (Type Inference in Haskell)

In this exercise, we will develop a type inference algorithm for the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus in Haskell. The general idea of the algorithm is to apply the type inference rules in a backward manner and to record equality constraints between types on the way. These constraints are then solved to obtain the result type.

- Take a look at the template provided on the website. We have provided definitions of terms and types in the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus, together with syntax sugar for input and printing. Moreover, you can find the type of substitutions and utility functions to work with substitutions, types and terms.
- The first component of the algorithm is *unification* on types. Given a list of equality constraints between types of the form  $u_1 \stackrel{?}{=} t_1, \dots, u_n \stackrel{?}{=} t_n$ , we want to produce a suitable substitution  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(u_i) = t_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  or report that the given constraints do not have a solution. Fill in the remaining cases of the function *solve* that achieves this functionality.
- Now we want to apply the type inference rules and record the arising type constraints. Function *constraints* of type

$$\text{Term} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Env} \rightarrow (\text{Int}, [(Type, Type)]) \rightarrow \text{Maybe} (\text{Int}, [(Type, Type)])$$

will achieve this functionality. Given a term  $t$ , a type  $\tau$ , an environment  $\Gamma$ , and a pair  $(n, C)$ , it will try to justify  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$ , adding the arising type constraints to  $C$ . The natural number  $n$  is used to keep track of the least variable index that is currently unused. This allows to easily generate fresh variable names. Complete the definition of *constraints*.

- Define the function *infer* that infers the type of a term by combining *solve* and *constraints* and try it on a few examples.

### Solution

See *type\_inference.hs*.

### Exercise 2 (Every Type is Applicative)

- Show that every type is *substitutive*.
- Show that every type is *applicative*.

## Solution

a) We first show that every type  $\tau$  is of the form

$$\tau_1 \rightarrow \dots \tau_n \rightarrow \tau'$$

with  $\tau'$  not of function type by induction on  $\tau$ . The case where  $\tau$  is elementary is immediate. If  $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ ,  $\tau_2$  is either not of function type and we are done, or we can apply the induction hypothesis to  $\tau_2$ , and we are done. Note that  $\rightarrow$  associates to the right.

Now, we use this as an induction rule on types to show the original statement. Thus, assume  $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \dots \tau_n \rightarrow \tau'$ , and that the  $\tau_i$  are all substitutive (IH). By Lemma 3.2.3, the  $\tau_i$  are all applicative, and thus  $\tau$  is substitutive by Lemma 3.2.4.

b) By Lemma 3.2.3

## Exercise 3 (Alternative Proof of Lemma 3.2.3)

a) Show that for every  $s \in T$ ,  $sx \in T$  if  $x$  is fresh with respect to  $s$ .

b) Show that every substitutive type is *applicative*.

## Solution

a) By induction on  $s \in T$ .

**Case Var:** Then  $s = y r_1 \dots r_n$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in T$  for some variable  $y$ . Since  $x \in T$  by rule Var, we get  $y r_1 \dots r_n x \in T$  by rule Var.

**Case  $\lambda$ :** We assume that  $s = (\lambda y. t)$  and  $t \in T$  and  $x$  is fresh w.r.t  $y$  and  $t$ . We want to use the rule  $\beta$  to prove  $(\lambda y. t) x \in T$  which means that we need to prove  $t[x/y] \in T$ . The proof is by another induction on  $t \in T$ .

**Case Var:** Then  $t = z r_1 \dots r_n$  with  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in T$ . We get the induction hypotheses that  $r_1[x/y], \dots, r_n[x/y] \in T$ . Additionally, we have  $y \in T$  and  $x \in T$  by rule Var. Thus, we have that  $t[x/y] = z[x/y] r_1[x/y] \dots r_n[x/y] x[x/y] = z[x/y] r_1[x/y] \dots r_n[x/y] y \in T$ .

**Case  $\beta$ :** Then  $t = (\lambda z. r)$  and  $r \in T$  with the IH  $r[x/y] \in T$ . Thus,  $t[x/y] = (\lambda z. r)[x/y] = (\lambda z. r[x/y])$  due to the freshness of  $x$ . Now we can use the rule  $\lambda$  to conclude that  $t[x/y] \in T$ .

**Case  $\lambda$ :** Then  $t = (\lambda z. r) u u_1 \dots u_n$  and  $r[u/z] u_1 \dots u_n \in T$  and  $u \in T$ . As IH we get that  $(r[u/z] r_1 \dots r_n)[x/y] \in T$  and  $u[x/y] \in T$ . From this we have  $(r[u/z] r_1 \dots r_n)[x/y] = r[x/y][u[x/y]/z] r_1[x/y] \dots r_n[x/y] \in T$  by Lemma 1.1.5 using the fact that  $x$  is fresh w.r.t. both  $u$  and  $y$ .

**Case  $\beta$ :** We have  $s = r[t/x] t_1 \dots t_n x \in T$  by IH and  $t \in T$  as another precondition, and thus can directly apply  $\beta$ .

b) Assume that  $\tau$  is substitutive. Further assume that we have  $t: \tau \rightarrow \sigma$ ,  $r: \tau$ ,  $t \in T$ , and  $r \in T$ . With the part a) we get that  $t x \in T$  for fresh  $x: \tau$ . Because  $\tau$  is substitutive, we have

$$t r = (t x)[r/x] \in T .$$

#### Homework 4 (Types of Church Numerals)

a) Let  $\tau$  be any type. Show that for the  $n$ -th Church numeral  $\underline{n}$ , we have

$$\Box \vdash \underline{n} : (\tau \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

b) Show that every term  $t \in \text{NF}$  with  $\Box \vdash t : (\iota \rightarrow \iota) \rightarrow \iota \rightarrow \iota$ ,  $t$  is either `id` or a church numeral. Here  $\iota$  is any *elementary* type.

#### Homework 5 (Completeness of $T$ )

In this exercise, you will show the converse of Lemma 3.2.2, i.e.

$$\Downarrow t \implies t \in T$$

a) Show that every  $\lambda$ -term has one of the following shapes:

- $x r_1 \dots r_n$
- $\lambda x. r$
- $(\lambda x. r) s s_1 \dots s_n$

Note that this gives rise to an alternative inductive definition for  $\lambda$ -terms and to a corresponding rule induction on  $\lambda$ -terms.

b)  $\Downarrow$  gives rise to a wellfounded induction principle. To show

$$\forall t. \Downarrow t \implies P(t),$$

it suffices to prove:

$$\forall t. (\forall t'. t \rightarrow_\beta t' \implies P(t')) \implies P(t).$$

Use this to prove:

$$\Downarrow t \implies t \in T$$

*Hint:* Use (a) for an inner induction on terms.