

# First-Order Logic Herbrand Theory

## Herbrand universe

The **Herbrand universe**  $T(F)$  of a closed formula  $F$  in Skolem form is the set of all terms that can be constructed using the function symbols in  $F$ .

In the special case that  $F$  contains no constants, we first pick an arbitrary constant, say  $a$ , and then construct the terms.

Formally,  $T(F)$  is inductively defined as follows:

- ▶ All constants occurring in  $F$  belong to  $T(F)$ ;  
if no constant occurs in  $F$ , then  $a \in T(F)$   
where  $a$  is some arbitrary constant.
- ▶ For every  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  occurring in  $F$ ,  
if  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T(F)$  then  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in T(F)$ .

**Note:** All terms in  $T(F)$  are variable-free by construction!

### Example

$$F = \forall x \forall y P(f(x), g(c, y))$$

# Herbrand structure

Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.

A structure  $\mathcal{A}$  suitable for  $F$  is a **Herbrand structure** for  $F$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- ▶  $U_{\mathcal{A}} = T(F)$ , and
- ▶ for every  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  occurring in  $F$  and every  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(F)$ :  $f^{\mathcal{A}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ .

## Fact

*If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Herbrand structure, then  $\mathcal{A}(t) = t$  for all  $t \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

We call a Herbrand structure that is a model a **Herbrand model**.

# Matrix of a formula

## Definition

The **matrix** of a formula  $F$  is the result of removing all quantifiers (all  $\forall x$  and  $\exists x$ ) from  $F$ . The matrix is denoted by  $F^*$ .

# Fundamental theorem of predicate logic

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.*

*Then  $F$  is satisfiable iff it has a Herbrand model.*

**Proof** If  $F$  has a Herbrand model then it is satisfiable.

For the other direction let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arbitrary model of  $F$ .

We define a Herbrand structure  $\mathcal{T}$  as follows:

Universe  $U_{\mathcal{T}} = T(F)$

Function symbols  $f^{\mathcal{T}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

If  $F$  contains no constant:  $a^{\mathcal{A}} = u$  for some arbitrary  $u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$

Predicate symbols  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in P^{\mathcal{T}}$  iff  $(\mathcal{A}(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{A}(t_n)) \in P^{\mathcal{A}}$

**Claim:**  $\mathcal{T}$  is also a model of  $F$ .

**Claim:**  $\mathcal{T}$  is also a model of  $F$ .

We prove a stronger assertion:

*For every closed formula  $G$  in Skolem form  
such  $G$  contains the same fun. and pred. symbols as  $F$ :  
if  $\mathcal{A} \models G$  then  $\mathcal{T} \models G$*

**Proof** By induction on the number  $n$  of universal quantifiers of  $G$ .

Basis  $n = 0$ . Then  $G$  has no quantifiers at all.

Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{T}(G)$  (why?), and we are done.

Induction step:  $G = \forall x H$ .

$$\mathcal{A} \models G$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } u \in U_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}[u/x](H) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } u \in U_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ of the form } u = \mathcal{A}(t) \\ \text{where } t \in T(F): \mathcal{A}[u/x](H) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } t \in T(F): \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}(t)/x](H) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } t \in T(F): \mathcal{A}(H[t/x]) = 1 \quad (\text{substitution lemma})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } t \in T(F): \mathcal{T}(H[t/x]) = 1 \quad (\text{induction hypothesis})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } t \in T(F): \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{T}(t)/x](H) = 1 \quad (\text{substitution lemma})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for every } t \in T(F): \mathcal{T}[t/x](H) = 1 \quad (\mathcal{T} \text{ is Herbrand structure})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\forall x H) = 1 \quad (U_{\mathcal{T}} = T(F))$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \models G$$

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.*

*Then  $F$  is satisfiable iff it has a Herbrand model.*

What goes wrong if  $F$  is not closed or not in Skolem form?



## Herbrand expansion

Let  $F = \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_n F^*$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.  
The **Herbrand expansion** of  $F$  is the set of formulas

$$E(F) = \{F^*[t_1/y_1] \dots [t_n/y_n] \mid t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(F)\}$$

Informally: the formulas of  $E(F)$  are the result of substituting terms from  $T(F)$  for the variables of  $F^*$  in every possible way.

### Example

$$E(\forall x \forall y P(f(x), g(c, y))) =$$

**Note** The Herbrand expansion can be viewed as a set of propositional formulas.

# Gödel-Herbrand-Skolem Theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.*

*Then  $F$  is satisfiable iff its Herbrand expansion  $E(F)$  is satisfiable (in the sense of propositional logic).*

**Proof** By the fundamental theorem, it suffices to show:  
 $F$  has a Herbrand model iff  $E(F)$  is satisfiable.

Let  $F = \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_n F^*$ .

$\mathcal{A}$  is a Herbrand model of  $F$

iff for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}[t_1/y_1] \dots [t_n/y_n](F^*) = 1$

iff for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(F^*[t_1/y_1] \dots [t_n/y_n]) = 1$

iff for all  $G \in E(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(G) = 1$

iff  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $E(F)$

# Herbrand's Theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form.*

*$F$  is unsatisfiable iff some finite subset of  $E(F)$  is unsatisfiable.*

**Proof** Follows immediately from the Gödel-Herbrand-Skolem Theorem and the Compactness Theorem.

## Gilmore's Algorithm

Let  $F$  be a closed formula in Skolem form  
and let  $F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots$  be an computable enumeration of  $E(F)$ .

```
Input:  $F$   
 $n := 0$ ;  
repeat  $n := n + 1$ ;  
until  $(F_1 \wedge F_2 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n)$  is unsatisfiable;  
return "unsatisfiable"
```

The algorithm terminates iff  $F$  is unsatisfiable.

# Semi-decidability Theorems

## Theorem

- (a) *The unsatisfiability problem of predicate logic is (only) semi-decidable.*
- (b) *The validity problem of predicate logic is (only) semi-decidable.*

## Proof

- (a) Gilmore's algorithm is a semi-decision procedure.  
(The problem is undecidable. Proof later)
- (b)  $F$  valid iff  $\neg F$  unsatisfiable.

# Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem

## Theorem

*Every satisfiable formula of first-order predicate logic has a model with a countable universe.*

**Proof** Let  $F$  be a formula,  
and let  $G$  be an equisatisfiable formula in Skolem form  
(as produced by the Normal Form transformations).

Fact: Every model of  $G$  is a model of  $F$ . (Check this!)

$F$  satisfiable  $\Rightarrow$   $G$  satisfiable  
 $\Rightarrow$   $G$  has a Herbrand model  $\mathcal{T}$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $F$  also has that model  $\mathcal{T}$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $F$  has a countable model  
(Herbrand universes are countable)

# Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem

Formulas of first-order logic cannot enforce uncountable models

Formulas of first-order logic cannot axiomatize the real numbers  
because there will always be countable models