

# LOGIC EXERCISES

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH  
CHAIR FOR LOGIC AND VERIFICATION

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW  
KEVIN KAPPELMANN

SS 2022

EXERCISE SHEET 10

01.07.2022

**Exercise 10.1.**     $[\exists^*\forall^*$  with Equality]

Show that unsatisfiability of formulas from the  $\exists^*\forall^*$  fragment with equality is decidable.

**Exercise 10.2.**     $[\exists^*\forall^2\exists^*]$

Show how to reduce deciding unsatisfiability of formulas from the  $\exists^*\forall^2\exists^*$ -fragment to deciding unsatisfiability of formulas from the  $\forall^2\exists^*$ -fragment.

**Exercise 10.3.**    [Sequent Calculus]

Prove the following formulas in sequent calculus:

1.  $\neg\exists xP(x) \rightarrow \forall x\neg P(x)$
2.  $(\forall x(P \vee Q(x))) \rightarrow (P \vee \forall xQ(x))$

**Exercise 10.4.**    [Can't Touch This]

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be structures over the same language with universes  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. We say that  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are *isomorphic* if there is a bijection  $i : A \rightarrow B$  which preserves the interpretation of all symbols, that is:

1.  $i(c^{\mathcal{A}}) = c^{\mathcal{B}}$ , for all constants  $c$
2.  $i(f^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f^{\mathcal{B}}(i(a_1), \dots, i(a_n))$ , for all functions  $f$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$
3.  $P^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \iff P^{\mathcal{B}}(i(a_1), \dots, i(a_n))$ , for all predicates  $P$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the standard model of the natural numbers. Assume you are given a countable first-order axiomatisation  $T$  of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Show that there is another model  $\mathcal{N}'$  of  $T$  that is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Homework 10.1.** [FOL without Function Symbols] (+++)

Describe an algorithm that transforms any formula  $F$  (in FOL with equality) into an equisatisfiable formula  $F'$  (in FOL with equality) that does not use function symbols. Do not forget to deal with constants, i.e. functions with arity 0.

Apply your algorithm to the formula  $F := \forall xy. R(f(x, y)) \wedge P(c, g(f(x, y)))$ .

**Homework 10.2.** [Undefinability of Finiteness] (++)

In the following, given a structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , we write  $A := U^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

1. Give a countable set of sentences  $S_I$  such that for any structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models S_I$  if and only if  $A$  has infinitely many elements.
2. Show that there cannot be a countable set of sentences  $S_F$  such that for any structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models S_F$  if and only if  $A$  has finitely many elements.

**Homework 10.3.** [Sequent Calculus] (++)

Prove the following statements using sequent calculus if they are valid, or give a countermodel otherwise.

1.  $\neg \forall x \exists y \forall z (\neg P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y))$
2.  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, x) \wedge (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)))$

**Homework 10.4.** [Miniscoping] (++)

In the lecture, we proved that deciding unsatisfiability of monadic FOL formulas can be reduced to deciding unsatisfiability of formulas from the  $\exists^* \forall^*$  fragment by using miniscoping.

Prove the lemma that after miniscoping, no nested quantifiers remain.

Logic is in the eye of the logician.

— Gloria Steinem