Technische Universität München Institut für Informatik Prof. Tobias Nipkow, Ph.D. Brian Huffman and Peter Lammich Equational Logic Summer Term 2012 Exercise Sheet 4 May 11

Homework is due on May 18, before the tutorial.

Exercise 1 (H) (Terms)

Prove the following lemmas by induction. Assume in each that $p \in \mathcal{P}os(s)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}os(t)$.

a)
$$(s[t]_p)|_{pq} = t|_q$$

b)
$$(s[t]_p)[r]_{pq} = s[t[r]_q]_p$$

Exercise 2 (H) (Equational Logic)

Let \circ be a binary, \cdot^{-1} a unary, and e a nullary function symbol. Consider the following equation set G:

$$G = \{ x \circ (y \circ z) \approx (x \circ y) \circ z, \ e \circ x \approx x, \ x^{-1} \circ x \approx e \}$$

Construct a proof tree for $G \vdash x \circ x^{-1} \approx ((x^{-1})^{-1} \circ x^{-1}) \circ (x \circ x^{-1})$. Make it clear what rule you use in each derivation step.

Exercise 3 (T) (Models)

Let $H = \{f(x, f(y, z)) \approx f(f(x, y), z), f(e, x) \approx x, f(x, i(x)) \approx e\}.$

- a) Construct a model of H where the additional equation $f(x, e) \approx x$ also holds.
- b) Show that $f(x, e) \approx_H x$ is not a semantic consequence of H, by constructing a model of H where $f(x, e) \approx x$ does not hold.

Exercise 4 (T) (Equality)

Let $\Sigma = \{f\}$ where f is a binary function symbol, and

$$E = \{f(x, f(y, z)) \approx f(f(x, y), z), f(x, x) \approx x, f(f(x, y), x) \approx f(x, y)\}$$

Is the question of whether two terms s and t are equivalent with respect to E decidable? Hint: Figure out how to calculate, for any term s, the smallest term equivalent to s.