

Exercise 55 (Lists in λ -calculus)

Specify λ -Terms for `nil`, `cons`, `hd`, `tl` and `null`, that encode lists in the λ -calculus. Show that your terms satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{null nil} & \longrightarrow^* \text{true} & \text{hd (cons } x \ l) & \longrightarrow^* x \\ \text{null (cons } x \ l) & \longrightarrow^* \text{false} & \text{tl (cons } x \ l) & \longrightarrow^* l \end{array}$$

Hint: Use pairs.

Exercise 56 (Fixed-point Combinator)

Use a fixed-point combinator to compute the length of lists.

Exercise 57 (β -reduction on de Bruijn preserves substitution)

Prove Lemma 1.2.5 for de-Bruijn terms:

$$s \rightarrow_{\beta} s' \implies s[u/x] \rightarrow_{\beta} s'[u/x]$$

Homework 58 (Trees in λ -calculus)

Encode a datatype of binary trees in lambda calculus. Specify the operations `tip` and `node` that construct trees, as well as `isTip`, `left`, `right`, and `value`. Each `tip` should carry a value, whereas each `node` should consist of two subtrees.

Show that the following holds:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{isTip (tip } a) & \longrightarrow^* \text{true} \\ \text{isTip (node } x \ y) & \longrightarrow^* \text{false} \\ \text{value (tip } a) & \longrightarrow^* a \\ \text{left (node } x \ y) & \longrightarrow^* x \\ \text{right (node } x \ y) & \longrightarrow^* y \end{array}$$

Homework 59 (β -reduction preserves types)

A type system has the *subject reduction property* if evaluating an expression preserves its type. Prove that the simply typed λ -calculus (λ^{\rightarrow}) has the subject reduction property:

$$\Gamma \vdash t : \tau \wedge t \longrightarrow_{\beta} t' \implies \Gamma \vdash t' : \tau$$

Hints: Use induction over the inductive definition of \longrightarrow_{β} (Def. 1.2.2). State your inductive hypotheses precisely – it may help to introduce a binary predicate $P(t, t')$ to express the property you are proving by induction. Also note that the proof will require *rule inversion*: Given $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$, the shape of t (variable, application, or λ -abstraction) may determine which typing rule must have been used to derive the typing judgment.

Within your proof, you are free to use the following lemma about substitution:

$$\Gamma \vdash u : \tau_0 \wedge \Gamma[x : \tau_0] \vdash t : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t[u/x] : \tau \tag{1}$$