

### Exercise 60 (Type Inference)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[] \vdash \lambda xyz. x y (y z) : \tau$$

### Exercise 61 (Recursive let)

Recursive `let` expressions are one way (besides  $Y$ -combinators) to add recursion to  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ .

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1 t_2) \mid (\lambda x. t) \mid \mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2$$

- Modify the standard typing rule for `let` to create a suitable rule for `letrec`.
- Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[] \vdash \mathbf{letrec} \ x = \lambda y. x (x y) \ \mathbf{in} \ x x : \tau$$

### Homework 62 (let-Polymorphism)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[z : \tau_0] \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = \lambda yz. z y y \ \mathbf{in} \ x (x z) : \tau$$

### Homework 63 (Constructive logic)

- Prove the following statement using the calculus for intuitionistic propositional logic:

$$((c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)$$

*Hint:* To make your proof tree more compact, you may remove unneeded assumptions to the left of the  $\vdash$  during the proof as you see fit. For example, the following step is valid:

$$\frac{p \vdash p}{p, q \vdash p}$$

- Give a well-typed expression in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  with the type

$$((\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta)$$

(You don't need to give the derivation tree.)