

LOGICS EXERCISE

TU MÜNCHEN
INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW
DR. PETER LAMMICH
SIMON WIMMER

SS 2016

EXERCISE SHEET 3

27.04.2016

Submission of Homework: Before tutorial on May 4

Homework 3.1. [Equivalence] (4 points)

Let F and G be arbitrary formulas. (In particular, they may contain free occurrences of x .) Which of the following equivalences hold? Proof or counterexample!

1. $\forall x(F \wedge G) \equiv \forall xF \wedge \forall xG$
2. $\exists x(F \wedge G) \equiv \exists xF \wedge \exists xG$

Homework 3.2. [Preorders] (4 points)

A reflexive and transitive relation is called *preorder*. In predicate logic, preorders can be characterized by the formula

$$F \equiv \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, x) \wedge (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \longrightarrow P(x, z)))$$

Which of the following structures are models of F ? No proofs are required for the positive case. Give counterexamples for the negative case!

1. $U^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{N}$ and $P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(m, n) \mid m = n\}$
2. $U^{\mathcal{A}} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(A, B) \mid A \supseteq B\}$
3. $U^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(x, y) \mid 5 > |x - y|\}$

Homework 3.3. [Infinite Models] (5 points)

Consider predicate logic with equality. We use infix notation for equality, and abbreviate $\neg(s = t)$ by $s \neq t$. Moreover, we call a structure finite iff it's universe is finite.

1. Specify a finite model for the formula $\forall x (c \neq f(x) \wedge x \neq f(x))$.
2. Specify a model for the formula $\forall x \forall y (c \neq f(x) \wedge (f(x) = f(y) \longrightarrow x = y))$.
3. Show that the above formula has no finite model.

Homework 3.4. [Normal Forms] (3 points)

Convert the following formula to Skolem form:

$$P(x) \wedge \forall x (Q(x) \wedge \forall x \exists y P(f(x, y)))$$

Show at least the main intermediate conversion stages.

Homework 3.5. [Relation to Propositional Logic] (4 points)

Suppose that formula F does not contain any variables or quantifiers. Your task is to construct a *propositional* formula G such that F is valid iff G is valid. Proof that your construction does indeed fulfill this property. Is it also the case that F is satisfiable iff G is satisfiable?

Hints: The approach should define a new *atom* for every *atomic formula* in F . To construct a structure for F from an assignment for G , it may be helpful to use as your universe the set of all terms which can be constructed from function symbols in F . You can assume that F contains at least one constant to ensure that this universe is non-empty.