Propositional Logic Compactness

### **Compactness Theorem**

Theorem A set **S** of formulas is satisfiable iff every finite subset of **S** is satisfiable.

Equivalent formulation: A set **S** of formulas is unsatisfiable iff some finite subset of **S** is unsatisfiable.

 $\Rightarrow$ : If **S** is satisfiable then every finite subset of **S** is satisfiable. Trivial.

 $\Leftarrow: \mbox{ If every finite subset of $S$ is satisfiable then $S$ is satisfiable.}$  We prove that \$S\$ has a model.

For every  $n \ge 1$  let  $\mathbf{S}_n$  be the subset of formulas of  $\mathbf{S}$  containing only the atoms  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .

Observe: We have  $\textbf{S}_1 \subseteq \textbf{S}_2 \subseteq \textbf{S}_3 \dots$ 

#### **Claim 1** Each of the sets $S_n$ has a model $A_n$ .

**Proof** Partition  $S_n$  into equivalence classes containing equivalent formulas. There are at most  $2^{2^n}$  classes (why?). Pick a representative from each class. The set of all representatives is finite, and so by hypothesis it has a model  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , which is also a model of  $S_n$ .

Claim 2  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is model not only of  $S_n$ , but also of  $S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}$ . Proof follows immediately from  $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq S_3 \ldots$ 

We define a model A of **S** by an iterative procedure whose *n*-th iteration fixes  $A(A_n)$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{I} \text{ is a set of indices. Step 0: } \textit{I} := \mathbb{N}. \\ \text{Step } n \geq 1: \\ \text{if there are infinitely many } i \in \textit{I} \text{ such that } \mathcal{A}_i(A_n) = 1 \\ \text{then } \mathcal{A}(A_n) := 1; \\ \textit{I} := \textit{I} - \{i \mid \mathcal{A}_i(A_n) \neq 1\} \\ \text{else } \mathcal{A}(A_n) := 0; \\ \textit{I} := \textit{I} - \{i \mid \mathcal{A}_i(A_n) \neq 0\} \end{array}$ 

Claim 3 After *n* steps,  $n \ge 0$ :

I is infinite

▶  $\mathcal{A}(A_1) = \mathcal{A}_i(A_1), ..., \mathcal{A}(A_n) = \mathcal{A}_i(A_n)$  for all  $i \in I$ 

**Proof** by induction on *n*.

Claim 4  $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$  for every  $F \in S$ . **Proof** Let F contain only  $A_1, \ldots, A_j$ . Therefore  $F \in S_j \subseteq S_{j+1} \subseteq \ldots$  and  $\mathcal{A}_j(F) = \mathcal{A}_{j+1}(F) = \cdots = 1$ . After step j, there is some  $i \in I$  s.t. i > j because I is infinite. Because  $\mathcal{A}_i(F) = 1$  and because  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  agree on  $A_1, \ldots, A_j$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$ . The proof of the compactness lemma is not constructive! The model  $\mathcal{A}$  can (in general) not be constructed effectively. The proof only tells us that it exists.

### Corollary

Corollary If  $S \models F$  then there is a finite subset  $M \subseteq S$  such that  $M \models F$ .