Propositional Logic Horn Formulas

Efficient satisfiability checks

In the following:

- A very efficient satisfiability check for the special class of Horn formulas.
- Efficient satisfiability checks for arbitrary formulas in CNF: resolution (later).

Horn formulas

Definition

A formula F in CNF is a Horn formula if every disjunction in F contains at most one positive literal.

A disjunction in a Horn formula can equivalently be viewed as an implication $K \rightarrow B$ where K is a conjunction of atoms or $K = \top$ and B is an atom or $B = \bot$:

$$(\neg A \lor \neg B \lor C) \equiv (A \land B \to C) \ (\neg A \lor \neg B) \equiv (A \land B \to \bot) \ A \equiv (\top \to A)$$

Satisfiablity check for Horn formulas

```
Input: a Horn formula F.
```

```
Algorithm building a model (assignment) \mathcal{M}:
```

```
for all atoms A_i in F do \mathcal{M}(A_i) := 0;
```

```
while F has a subformula K \to B
such that \mathcal{M}(K) = 1 and \mathcal{M}(B) = 0
```

```
do
```

```
if B = \bot then return "unsatisfiable"
else \mathcal{M}(B) := 1
```

```
return "satisfiable"
```

Maximal number of iterations of the while loop: number of implications in *F*

Each iteration requires at most O(|F|) steps.

Overall complexity: $O(|F|^2)$

[Algorithm can be improved to O(|F|). See Schöning.]

Correctness of the model building algorithm

Theorem

The algorithm returns "satisfiable" iff F is satisfiable.

Proof Observe: if the algorithm sets $\mathcal{M}(B) = 1$, then $\mathcal{A}(B) = 1$ for every assignment \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$. This is an invariant.

(a) If "unsatisfiable" then unsatisfiable.

We prove unsatisfiability by contradiction. Assume $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$ for some \mathcal{A} . Let $(A_{i_1} \land \ldots \land A_{i_k} \to \bot)$ be the subformula causing "unsatisfiable". Since $\mathcal{M}(A_{i_1}) = \cdots = \mathcal{M}(A_{i_k}) = 1$, $\mathcal{A}(A_{i_1}) = \ldots = \mathcal{A}(A_{i_k}) = 1$. Then $\mathcal{A}(A_{i_1} \land \ldots \land A_{i_k} \to \bot) = 0$ and so $\mathcal{A}(F) = 0$, contradiction. So F has no satisfying assignments.

(b) If "satisfiable" then satisfiable.

After termination with "satisfiable", for every subformula $K \to B$ of F, $\mathcal{M}(K) = 0$ or $\mathcal{M}(B) = 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(K \to B) = 1$ and thus $\mathcal{M} \models F$. In fact, the invariant shows that \mathcal{M} is the minimal model of F.