

LOGICS EXERCISE

TU MÜNCHEN  
INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW  
LARS HUPEL

SS 2018

EXERCISE SHEET 5

08.05.2018

**Submission of homework:** Before tutorial on 15.05.2018. Until further notice, homework has to be submitted in groups of two students.

**Exercise 5.1. [Induction Proofs]**

Show that the following schema has a proof in natural deduction for all  $n \geq 1$ :

$$P_n = ((A_1 \wedge (A_2 \wedge (\dots \wedge A_n) \dots) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A_1 \rightarrow (A_2 \rightarrow (\dots (A_n \rightarrow B) \dots))))$$

**Solution:**

- Base case:  $n = 1$

Formula:  $P_1 = (A_1 \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A_1 \rightarrow B)$

Proof: trivial through  $\rightarrow I$

- Induction step:  $n \rightsquigarrow n + 1$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(IH)}^1 \frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\overline{B}} \text{ (subproof)}}{A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I_3}{A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow B} \rightarrow E}{A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I_2}{A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow B} \rightarrow I_2}{(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow B)} \rightarrow I_1
 \end{array}$$

Subproof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \rightarrow B]^1 \quad [A_1]^2 \quad [A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1}]^3 \\
 \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
 \vdots \quad A_1 \quad A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \\
 \hline
 A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \rightarrow B \quad A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_{n+1} \quad \wedge I \\
 \hline
 B \quad \rightarrow E
 \end{array}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note: We use the induction hypothesis with the atomic formulas  $\{A_2, \dots, A_{n+1}\}$ .

**Exercise 5.2. [From Sequent Calculus to Natural Deduction]**

How can we construct, from a sequent calculus proof  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ , a natural deduction proof  $\Gamma \vdash_N \bigvee \Delta$ ?

**Solution:**

1. Known from lecture:  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \rightsquigarrow \Gamma, \neg \bigvee \Delta \vdash_N \perp$
2. Use the  $\perp$  rule:  $\Gamma, \neg \bigvee \Delta \vdash_N \perp \rightsquigarrow \Gamma \vdash_N \bigvee \Delta$

**Exercise 5.3. [Hilbert Calculus]**

Prove the following formula with a linear proof in Hilbert calculus:  $(F \wedge G) \rightarrow (G \wedge F)$

*Hint:* Use the deduction theorem.

**Solution:**

We first apply the deduction theorem. It remains to construct a proof of  $F \wedge G \vdash_H G \wedge F$ .

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1. $F \wedge G \rightarrow G$               | A4       |
| 2. $F \wedge G$                             | $\Gamma$ |
| 3. $F \wedge G \rightarrow F$               | A3       |
| 4. $G \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \wedge F$ | A5       |
| 5. $G$                                      | 1, 2     |
| 6. $F \rightarrow G \wedge F$               | 4, 5     |
| 7. $F$                                      | 3, 2     |
| 8. $G \wedge F$                             | 6, 7     |

**Homework 5.1.** [A Smaller Set of Axioms for Hilbert Calculus] (5 points)

In the lecture, Hilbert calculus for propositional logic was introduced by means of nine axioms. However, the following three axioms are already sufficient:

$$\mathbf{A1} \quad F \rightarrow (G \rightarrow F)$$

$$\mathbf{A2} \quad (F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H) \rightarrow (F \rightarrow G) \rightarrow F \rightarrow H$$

$$\mathbf{A10} \quad (\neg F \rightarrow \neg G) \rightarrow (G \rightarrow F)$$

Derive the following statement from the axioms above with help of  $\rightarrow_E$ :  $\neg(F \rightarrow F) \rightarrow G$

**Homework 5.2.** [From Sequent Calculus to Natural Deduction] (8 points)

In Exercise 5.2, we constructed, from a sequent calculus proof of  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ , a natural deduction proof  $\Gamma \vdash_N \bigvee \Delta$ . That construction was “classical”, because it required the use of the  $\perp$  rule. We will consider a restricted Sequent Calculus here and the special case where  $\Delta = \{F\}$ , i.e., always contains exactly one formula.

The following system – a variant of Sequent Calculus – is called **G3c**:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Ax} \quad P, \Gamma \Rightarrow P \quad (P \text{ atomic}) \\ \mathbf{L}\wedge \quad \frac{A, B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{A \wedge B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} \\ \mathbf{L}\vee \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C \quad B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{A \vee B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} \\ \mathbf{L}\rightarrow \quad \frac{A \rightarrow B, \Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C}{A \rightarrow B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} \\ \mathbf{R}\perp \quad \perp, \Gamma \Rightarrow A \\ \mathbf{R}\wedge \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \wedge B} \\ \mathbf{R}\vee \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_0 \vee A_1} \quad (i = 0, 1) \\ \mathbf{R}\rightarrow \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} \end{array}$$

Give a direct construction (without using the  $\perp$  rule, but  $\perp E$  is allowed) that transforms a G3c proof  $\Gamma \Rightarrow F$  into a natural deduction proof  $\Gamma \vdash_N F$ .

*Hints:* Perform an induction on the depth of the G3c proof. It is sufficient to consider the base cases and two other cases of your own choosing.

**Homework 5.3.** [Truth Tables] (7 points)

In the lecture, the following lemma was discussed:

Let  $\text{atoms}(F) \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ . Then we can construct a proof  $A_1^A, \dots, A_n^A \vdash_N F^A$ .

Recall the definition of  $F^A$ :

$$F^A = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } \mathcal{A}(F) = 1 \\ \neg F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Prove the lemma by induction on  $F$ ! It suffices to prove the cases for atomic formulas, negation, and disjunction.