

Semantics of Programming Languages

Exercise Sheet 12

Homework 12 Verification Condition Generator for Total Correctness

Submission until Tuesday, 22. 1. 2013, 10:00am.

In this homework, your task is to implement a verification condition generator for total correctness, which is also optimized for handling automatically the termination of certain FOR-like while loops.

We start by defining the datatype of total-correctness annotated programs. Notice, compared to the partial correctness case, the extra measure argument of *Awhile*, of type $state \Rightarrow nat$, aimed at handling termination.

```
datatype acom =  
  ASKIP |  
  Aassign vname aexp    (“(- ::= -)” [1000, 61] 61) |  
  Aseq  acom acom      (“-;/ -” [60, 61] 60) |  
  Aif bexp acom acom   (“(IF -/ THEN -/ ELSE -)” [0, 0, 61] 61) |  
  Awhile assn “state  $\Rightarrow$  nat” bexp acom (“({-, -}/ WHILE -/ DO -)” [0, 0, 61] 61)
```

The types of both commands and annotated commands are made instances of the *vars* class by defining suitable operators (see the homework template).

instantiation com :: vars

instantiation acom :: vars

Recall from homework 6 the following facts about the interaction between evaluation/execution and *vars*:

lemma *aval_vars*: “ $\llbracket s1 = s2 \text{ on } X; \text{ vars } a \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies \text{aval } a \ s1 = \text{aval } a \ s2$ ”

lemma *confinement*: “ $(c, s) \Rightarrow t \implies s = t \text{ on } (\text{UNIV} - \text{vars } c)$ ”

1. Write a function for identifying certain annotated while loops trivially well-behaved w.r.t. termination, which we call “FOR loops”. Namely, a FOR loop is an annotated command of the form *Awhile* *I M* (*Less* (*V x*) *a*) (*c* ; *x ::=* (*Plus* (*V x*) (*N I*))) where *x* does not appear in *a* or *c* and the sets of variables of *a* and *c* are disjoint. FOR loops should be identified via a function *isF*, where *isF* *b d* tests if *Awhile I M b d* is a FOR loop:

fun *isF* :: “*bexp* \Rightarrow *acom* \Rightarrow *bool*” **where**

isF should be executable—some tests are found in the template.

2. Define a verification condition generator *vc* for total correctness. The “precondition” function, *pre* similar to that from the partial-correctness case, is given in the template:

fun *pre* :: “*acom* \Rightarrow *assn* \Rightarrow *bool*” **where**

The recursive clauses for *vc* are essentially the ones from the partial-correctness case, except for the case of WHILE loops, where you need to take two further aspects into account:

- incorporate the measure annotation *M* in the generated conditions (hint: by contrast to the partial-correctness case, use *pre c* ($\lambda s'. I s' \wedge M s' < M s$) *s* and *vc c* ($\lambda s'. I s' \wedge M s' < M s$) instead of *pre c I* and *vc c I*);
- the above only if the WHILE is not a FOR loop—otherwise, *M* should be ignored.

fun *vc* :: “*acom* \Rightarrow *assn* \Rightarrow *bool*” **where**

Note that, unlike for partial correctness, here *vc* has type *acom* \Rightarrow *assn* \Rightarrow *bool* instead of *acom* \Rightarrow *assn* \Rightarrow *assn*. Here, *vc c Q* should play a similar role as $\forall s. vc c Q s$ from partial correctness.

Some tests for your definition of *vc* are given in the template.

3. Define a function that strips away annotations:

fun *strip* :: “*acom* \Rightarrow *com*” **where**

4. Prove the following facts about your operators (analogous to the partial-correctness case), culminating with soundness. For the theorem *vc_sound*, in the WHILE case, you will need to distinguish between FOR loops and non FOR loops, and provide a suitable measure in the case of the former. (Recall that the verification condition generator should ignore the measure annotation at FOR loops.)

lemma *pre_mono*: “ $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' s \Longrightarrow pre\ c\ P\ s \Longrightarrow pre\ c\ P'\ s$ ”

lemma *vc_mono*: “ $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' s \Longrightarrow vc\ c\ P \Longrightarrow vc\ c\ P'$ ”

lemma *vc_sound*: “ $vc\ c\ Q \Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{pre\ c\ Q\}\ strip\ c\ \{Q\}$ ”

corollary *vc_sound'*: “ $vc\ c\ Q \wedge (\forall s. P s \longrightarrow pre\ c\ Q\ s) \Longrightarrow \vdash_t \{P\}\ strip\ c\ \{Q\}$ ”