

Semantics of Programming Languages

Exercise Sheet 12

Exercise 12.1 Termination for sign analysis

Recall the abstract interpreter from the last sheet:

```
datatype sign = Pos | Zero | Neg | Any
```

```
instantiation sign :: semilattice_sup_top  
begin
```

```
definition less_eq_sign where “ $x \leq y = (y = Any \vee x=y)$ ”
```

```
definition less_sign where “ $x < y = (x \leq y \wedge \neg y \leq (x::sign))$ ”
```

```
definition sup_sign where “ $x \sqcup y = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } x \text{ else } Any)$ ”
```

```
definition top_sign where “ $\top = Any$ ”
```

```
instance by standard (auto simp: less_eq_sign_def less_sign_def sup_sign_def top_sign_def)
```

```
end
```

```
fun  $\gamma$ _sign :: “sign  $\Rightarrow$  val set” where
```

```
“ $\gamma$ _sign Neg = {i. i < 0}” |
```

```
“ $\gamma$ _sign Pos = {i. i > 0}” |
```

```
“ $\gamma$ _sign Zero = {0}” |
```

```
“ $\gamma$ _sign Any = UNIV”
```

```
fun num_sign :: “val  $\Rightarrow$  sign” where
```

```
“num_sign i = (if i = 0 then Zero else if i > 0 then Pos else Neg)”
```

```
fun plus_sign :: “sign  $\Rightarrow$  sign  $\Rightarrow$  sign” where
```

```
“plus_sign y Zero = y” |
```

```
“plus_sign Zero y = y” |
```

```
“plus_sign x y = (if x = y then x else Any)”
```

```
global interpretation Val_semilattice
```

```
where  $\gamma = \gamma$ _sign and num' = num_sign and plus' = plus_sign
```

```

proof (standard, goal_cases)
  case (4 - a1 - a2) thus ?case
  by (induction a1 a2 rule: plus_sign.induct) (auto simp add: mod_add_eq)
qed (auto simp: less_eq_sign_def top_sign_def)

```

```

global interpretation Abs_Int
  where  $\gamma = \gamma\_sign$  and  $num' = num\_sign$  and  $plus' = plus\_sign$ 
  defines  $aval\_sign = aval'$  and  $step\_sign = step'$  and  $AI\_sign = AI$ 
  ..

```

Define a measure function on the abstract domain, which can be used to prove that the analysis always terminates. Define a function m_sign from the sign domain into the natural numbers such that

- $x < y \implies m_sign\ x > m_sign\ y$
- $m_sign\ x \leq h_sign$

where h_sign is the height of the sign domain.

```

abbreviation  $h\_sign :: nat$ 
fun  $m\_sign :: "sign \Rightarrow nat"$ 

```

```

global interpretation Abs_Int_mono
  where  $\gamma = \gamma\_sign$  and  $num' = num\_sign$  and  $plus' = plus\_sign$ 
global interpretation Abs_Int_measure
where  $\gamma = \gamma\_sign$  and  $num' = num\_sign$  and  $plus' = plus\_sign$ 
and  $m = m\_sign$  and  $h = h\_sign$ 

```

Exercise 12.2 Inverse Analysis

Consider a similar analysis based on this abstract domain:

```

datatype  $sign0 = None \mid Neg \mid Pos0 \mid Any$ 

```

```

fun  $\gamma_{-0} :: "sign0 \Rightarrow val\ set"$  where
  " $\gamma_{-0}\ None = \{\}$ " |
  " $\gamma_{-0}\ Neg = \{i. i < 0\}$ " |
  " $\gamma_{-0}\ Pos0 = \{i. i \geq 0\}$ " |
  " $\gamma_{-0}\ Any = UNIV$ "

```

Define inverse analyses for “+” and “<” and prove the required correctness properties:

```

fun  $inv\_plus' :: "sign0 \Rightarrow sign0 \Rightarrow sign0 \Rightarrow sign0 * sign0"$ 

```

lemma

```

  “[  $inv\_plus'\ a\ a1\ a2 = (a1', a2')$ ;  $i1 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a1$ ;  $i2 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a2$ ;  $i1+i2 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a$  ]
   $\implies i1 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a1' \wedge i2 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a2'$  ”

```

```

fun  $inv\_less' :: "bool \Rightarrow sign0 \Rightarrow sign0 \Rightarrow sign0 * sign0"$ 

```

lemma

```

  “[  $inv\_less'\ bv\ a1\ a2 = (a1', a2')$ ;  $i1 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a1$ ;  $i2 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a2$ ;  $(i1 < i2) = bv$  ]
   $\implies i1 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a1' \wedge i2 \in \gamma_{-0}\ a2'$  ”

```

Homework 12.1 AI Table

Submission until Sunday, Feb 7, 23:59.

Consider the following IMP program:

```
r := 11;
a := 11 + 11;
WHILE b DO (
  r := r + 1;
  a := a - 2
);
r := a + 1
```

Add annotations for parity analysis to this program, and iterate on it the $step'$ function until a fixed point is reached. (More precisely, let C be the annotated program; you need to compute $(step' \top)^0 C$, $(step' \top)^1 C$, $(step' \top)^2 C$, etc.). Document the results of each iteration in a table. For brevity, only write down changed values, and denote x, y for $\{r:=x, a:=y\}$.

Homework 12.2 AI for finite words

Submission until Sunday, Feb 7, 23:59.

We change the language of arithmetic expression in IMP to bitwise arithmetic on 4-bit words. First, we define a type *word* that holds precisely four elements. We can instantiate this with *bool* to obtain a type for 4-bit words.

```
datatype 'a word = Word 'a 'a 'a 'a
```

```
type_synonym vname = string
```

```
type_synonym val = "bool word"
```

```
type_synonym state = "vname  $\Rightarrow$  val"
```

```
datatype aexp = N val | V vname | Bit_And aexp aexp | Bit_Or aexp aexp
```

The abstract interpretation framework is already set up for this IMP variant.

Your task is to define abstract interpretation that assigns each bit in a word *True*, *False*, either, or none.

```
datatype parity = T | F | Either | None
```

First, instantiate the abstract interpreter with termination:

```
fun  $\gamma$ _parity
```

```
fun conj_parity
```

```
fun disj_parity
```

```
fun num_parity
```

```
instantiation parity :: "{order, semilattice_sup_top, bounded_lattice}"
```

begin

definition *less_eq_parity*

definition *less_parity*

definition *sup_parity*

definition *inf_parity*

definition *top_parity*

definition *bot_parity*

instance

end

type_synonym *word_parity* = “parity word”

fun γ_word_parity :: “word_parity \Rightarrow val set”

definition *and_parity* :: “word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity”

definition *or_parity* :: “word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity”

definition *num_word_parity* :: “val \Rightarrow word_parity”

global_interpretation *Val_semilattice*

where $\gamma = \gamma_word_parity$ **and** $num' = num_word_parity$ **and** $and' = and_parity$ **and** $or' = or_parity$

global_interpretation *Abs_Int*

where $\gamma = \gamma_word_parity$ **and** $num' = num_word_parity$ **and** $and' = and_parity$ **and** $or' = or_parity$

defines *step_parity* = *step'* **and** *AI_parity* = *AI*

global_interpretation *Abs_Int_mono*

where $\gamma = \gamma_word_parity$ **and** $num' = num_word_parity$ **and** $and' = and_parity$ **and** $or' = or_parity$

proof (*standard*, *goal_cases*)

Then, instantiate the inverse analysis framework:

global_interpretation *Val_lattice_gamma*

where $\gamma = \gamma_word_parity$ **and** $num' = num_word_parity$ **and** $and' = and_parity$ **and** $or' = or_parity$

definition *test_num_word_parity* :: “val \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow bool”

definition *inv_and_word_parity* ::

“word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow (word_parity \times word_parity)”

definition *inv_or_word_parity* ::

“word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow (word_parity \times word_parity)”

Your inverse analysis of the less may be rather approximative, but not trivial.

For a more precise analysis, up to three bonus points are awarded.

definition *inv_less_word_parity* ::

“bool \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow word_parity \Rightarrow (word_parity \times word_parity)”

global_interpretation *Abs_Int_inv*

where $\gamma = \gamma_word_parity$ **and** $num' = num_word_parity$ **and** $and' = and_parity$ **and** $or' = or_parity$

and $test_num' = test_num_word_parity$ **and** $inv_and' = inv_and_word_parity$

and *inv_or'* = *inv_or_word_parity* **and** *inv_less'* = *inv_less_word_parity*
defines *step_parity'* = *step'* **and** *AI_parity'* = *AI'*