

Semantics of Programming Languages

Exercise Sheet 8

Note: The tutorial is cancelled this week due to the Dies Academicus.

Exercise 8.1 Knaster-Tarski Fixed Point Theorem

The Knaster-Tarski theorem tells us that for each set P of fixed points of a monotone function f we have a fixpoint of f which is a greatest lower bound of P . In this exercise, we want to prove the Knaster-Tarski theorem.

First we give a construction of the greatest lower bound of all fixed points P of the function f . This is the union of all sets u smaller than P and $f u$. Then the task is to show that this is a fixed point, and that it is the greatest lower bound of all sets in P .

Let us define Inf_fixp :

definition $Inf_fixp :: \text{"('a set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set)} \Rightarrow \text{'a set set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}"$ **where**
 $Inf_fixp f P = \bigcup \{u. u \subseteq \bigcap P \cap f u \}$

To work directly with this definition is a little cumbersome, we propose to use the following two theorems:

lemma $Inf_fixp_upperbound$: $X \subseteq \bigcap P \implies X \subseteq f X \implies X \subseteq Inf_fixp f P$
by (*auto simp: Inf_fixp_def*)

lemma Inf_fixp_least : $(\bigwedge u. u \subseteq \bigcap P \implies u \subseteq f u \implies u \subseteq X) \implies Inf_fixp f P \subseteq X$
by (*auto simp: Inf_fixp_def*)

Now prove, that Inf_fixp is actually a fixed point of f .

Hint: First prove $Inf_fixp f P \subseteq f (Inf_fixp f P)$, this will be used for the other direction. It may be helpful to first think about the structure of your proof using pen-and-paper and then translate it into Isar.

lemma Inf_fixp :
assumes *mono*: “*mono f*”
and P : “ $\bigwedge p. p \in P \implies f p = p$ ”
shows “ $Inf_fixp f P = f (Inf_fixp f P)$ ”

Now we prove that it is a lower bound:

lemma Inf_fixp_lower : “ $Inf_fixp f P \subseteq \bigcap P$ ”

And that it is the greatest lower bound:

```

lemma Inf_fixp_greatest:
  assumes “ $f\ q = q$ ”
  and “ $q \subseteq \bigcap P$ ”
  shows “ $q \subseteq \text{Inf\_fixp } f\ P$ ”

```

Homework 8.1 Idempotence of Dead Variable Elimination

Submission until Wednesday, Dec 11, 23:59pm.

Dead variable elimination (*bury*) is not idempotent: multiple passes may reduce a command further and further. Give an example where $\text{bury}(\text{bury } c\ X)\ X \neq \text{bury } c\ X$. Hint: a sequence of two assignments.

We define a textually identical function *bury* in the context of true liveness analysis (theory *HOL-IMP.Live_True*).

```

fun bury :: “ $com \Rightarrow vname\ set \Rightarrow com$ ” where
  “bury SKIP  $X = SKIP$ ” |
  “bury  $(x ::= a)\ X = (if\ x \in X\ then\ x ::= a\ else\ SKIP)$ ” |
  “bury  $(c_1;; c_2)\ X = (bury\ c_1\ (L\ c_2\ X));;\ bury\ c_2\ X$ ” |
  “bury  $(IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2)\ X = IF\ b\ THEN\ bury\ c_1\ X\ ELSE\ bury\ c_2\ X$ ” |
  “bury  $(WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ X = WHILE\ b\ DO\ bury\ c\ (L\ (WHILE\ b\ DO\ c)\ X)$ ”

```

The aim of this homework is to prove that this version of *Hw1.bury* is idempotent. This will involve reasoning about *lfp*. In particular we will need that *lfp* is the least pre-fixpoint. This is expressed by two lemmas from the library:

```

lfp_unfold:       $mono\ f \implies lfp\ f = f\ (lfp\ f)$ 
lfp_lowerbound:  $f\ A \leq A \implies lfp\ f \leq A$ 

```

Prove the following lemma for showing that two fixpoints are the same, where *mono_def*: $mono\ f = (\forall x\ y. x \leq y \implies f\ x \leq f\ y)$.

```

theorem lfp_eq: “ $[mono\ f; mono\ g; lfp\ f \subseteq U; lfp\ g \subseteq U;$ 
   $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq U \implies f\ X = g\ X]$   $\implies lfp\ f = lfp\ g$ ”

```

It says that if we have an upper bound *U* for the *lfp* of both *f* and *g*, and *f* and *g* behave the same below *U*, then they have the same *lfp*.

The following two tweaks improve proof automation:

```

lemmas [simp] = L.simps(5)
lemmas L_mono2 = L_mono[unfolded mono_def]

```

To show that *Hw1.bury* is idempotent we need a lemma:

```

theorem L_bury_simp: “ $X \subseteq Y \implies L\ (bury\ c\ Y)\ X = L\ c\ X$ ”
proof(induction c arbitrary: X Y)

```

The proof is straightforward except for the case *WHILE* b *DO* c . The definition of L in this case means that we have to show an equality of two *lfps*. Lemma *lfp_eq* comes to the rescue. We recommend the upper bound *lfp* $(\lambda Z. \text{vars } b \cup Y \cup L \ c \ Z)$. One of the two upper bound assumptions of lemma *lfp_eq* can be proved by showing that U is a pre-fixpoint of f or g (see lemma *lfp_lowerbound*).

Now we can prove idempotence of *Hw1.bury*, again by induction on c , but this time even the *While* case should be easy.

theorem *bury_bury*: “ $X \subseteq Y \implies \text{bury } (\text{bury } c \ Y) \ X = \text{bury } c \ X$ ”

Idempotence is a corollary:

corollary “ $\text{bury } (\text{bury } c \ X) \ X = \text{bury } c \ X$ ”

Homework 8.2 True Liveness refines Liveness

Submission until Wednesday, Dec 11, 23:59pm.

In the lecture, we introduced two liveness analyses, namely Liveness *Live.L* and True Liveness *Live_True.L*. Prove that True Liveness refines the Liveness analysis, i.e. show the former is a subset of the latter.

theorem *True_L_subs_L*: “ $\text{Live_True.L } c \ X \subseteq \text{Live.L } c \ X$ ”